	Case 5:04-cv-04908-JW Document 471	Filed 02/17/11 Page 1 of 11
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
9	NORTHERN DISTR	ICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	SAN JOSE	E DIVISION
11 12	In re UTSTARCOM, INC. SECURITIES LITIGATION	) Master File No. C-04-4908-JW(PVT)
13		) <u>CLASS ACTION</u>
14	This Document Relates To:	REVISED [PROPUSED] FINAL  JUDGMENT AND ORDER OF DISMISSAL
15	ALL ACTIONS.	) WITH PREJUDICE
16		DATE: February 7, 2011 TIME: 9:00 a.m.
17		COURTROOM: The Honorable James Ware
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	609402_1	

This matter came before the Court for hearing pursuant to the [Second Revised] Order Preliminarily Approving Settlement and Providing for Notice ("Order") dated November 17, 2010, on the application of the parties for approval of the settlement set forth in the Stipulation of Settlement dated as of September 8, 2010 (the "Stipulation"). Due and adequate notice having been given to the Class as required in said Order, and the Court having considered all papers filed and proceedings had herein and otherwise being fully informed in the premises and good cause appearing therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that:

- 1. This Judgment incorporates by reference the definitions in the Stipulation, and all terms used herein shall have the same meanings as set forth in the Stipulation, unless otherwise set forth herein.
- 2. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the Litigation and over all parties to the Litigation, including all Members of the Class.
- 3. The Court hereby finally certifies a Class defined as: All Persons who purchased or otherwise acquired UTStarcom securities between February 21, 2003 and July 23, 2007, inclusive, and who did not sell such acquired securities before October 23, 2003, who were damaged. Excluded from the Class are the Defendants and officers and directors of UTStarcom, SoftBank Corporation, SoftBank America, Inc. or SoftBank Holdings, Inc., as well as their families and affiliates. Also excluded from the Class are those Persons (identified on Exhibit 1 attached hereto) who timely and validly requested exclusion from the Class.
- 4. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23, the Court hereby approves the settlement set forth in the Stipulation and finds that:
- (a) said Stipulation is, in all respects, fair, reasonable, and adequate and in the best interest of the Class;
  - (b) there was no collusion in connection with the Stipulation;
- (c) the Stipulation was the product of informed, arm's-length negotiations among competent, able counsel;

6

9 10

12

11

13 14

15 16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

- the record is sufficiently developed and complete to have enabled the Lead (d) Plaintiffs and the SoftBank Defendants to have adequately evaluated and considered their positions; and
- the Court has reviewed and considered the one objection submitted and (e) overrules the objection in all respects.
- Accordingly, the Court authorizes and directs implementation and performance of all 5. the terms and provisions of the Stipulation, as well as the terms and provisions hereof. The Court hereby dismisses the Litigation and all Released Claims of the Class with prejudice as to the SoftBank Defendants, without costs as to any Settling Party, except as and to the extent provided in the Stipulation and herein.
- Upon the Effective Date, the Plaintiffs shall, and each of the Class Members shall be 6. deemed to have, and by operation of this Judgment shall have, fully, finally, and forever released, relinquished, and discharged all Released Claims against the Released Persons, whether or not such Class Member executes and delivers the Proof of Claim and Release or shares in the Settlement Fund.
- All Class Members are hereby forever barred and enjoined from prosecuting any of 7. the Released Claims against any of the Released Persons.
- Upon the Effective Date, each of the Released Persons shall be deemed to have, and 8. by operation of this Judgment shall have, fully, finally, and forever released, relinquished, and discharged each and all of the Plaintiffs, Class Members, and Lead Counsel from all claims (including Unknown Claims) arising out of, relating to, or in connection with the institution, prosecution, assertion, settlement or resolution of the Litigation or the Released Claims. Notwithstanding the foregoing, this Judgment shall not be deemed a waiver or release of, and shall not preclude the Released Persons from asserting, any claims not barred by ¶9 herein, and otherwise permitted by any applicable federal or state statute or common law, against any person or entity, including claims against the UTStarcom Defendants, their present or former officers, directors, partners and employees, either in the form of a cross-claim, counterclaim, third-party complaint, or other form, filed in the Litigation or by a separately-filed action.

9. To the extent permitted by applicable laws, including Section 21D(f)(7)(A) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, codified at 15 U.S.C. §78u-4(f)(7)(A), upon the Effective Date, all Persons are enjoined and barred from commencing or continuing any action against the SoftBank Defendants, seeking, as damages, indemnity, contribution, or otherwise, the recovery of all or part of any liability or settlement which such Persons (i) paid, (ii) were obligated to pay or agreed to pay, or (iii) may become obligated to pay to the Class, as a result of such Persons' liability for or participation in any acts, facts, statements or omissions that were or could have been alleged in the action captioned *In re UTStarcom, Inc. Securities Litigation*. Any Person whose claims are so barred shall be entitled to appropriate judgment credits or reductions. Furthermore, notwithstanding the foregoing, nothing in this Judgment shall apply to, bar or otherwise affect any claim for insurance coverage by any SoftBank Defendant.

- 10. The Notice of Proposed Settlement of Class Action given to the Class was the best notice practicable under the circumstances, including the individual notice to all Members of the Class who could be identified through reasonable effort. Said notice provided the best notice practicable under the circumstances of those proceedings and of the matters set forth therein, including the proposed settlement set forth in the Stipulation, to all Persons entitled to such notice, and said notice fully satisfied the requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23 and the requirements of due process.
- 11. Any plan of allocation submitted by Lead Counsel or any order entered regarding any attorneys' fee and expense application shall in no way disturb or affect this Final Judgment and shall be considered separate from this Final Judgment.
- 12. Neither the Stipulation nor the settlement contained therein, nor any act performed or document executed pursuant to or in furtherance of the Stipulation or the settlement: (a) is or may be deemed to be or may be used as an admission of, or evidence of, the validity of any Released Claim, or of any wrongdoing or liability of the SoftBank Defendants or their respective Related Parties, or (b) is or may be deemed to be or may be used as an admission of, or evidence of, any fault or omission of any of the SoftBank Defendants or their respective Related Parties in any civil, criminal or administrative proceeding in any court, administrative agency or other tribunal. The SoftBank

Defendants and/or their respective Related Parties may file the Stipulation and/or this Judgment from this action in any other action that may be brought against them in order to support a defense or counterclaim based on principles of *res judicata*, collateral estoppel, release, good faith settlement, judgment bar or reduction, or any theory of claim preclusion or issue preclusion or similar defense or counterclaim.

- 13. Without affecting the finality of this Judgment in any way, this Court hereby retains continuing jurisdiction over: (a) implementation of this settlement and any award or distribution of the Settlement Fund, including interest earned thereon; (b) disposition of the Settlement Fund; (c) hearing and determining applications for attorneys' fees, interest, and expenses in the Litigation; and (d) all parties hereto for the purpose of construing, enforcing, and administering the Stipulation.
- 14. After all other provisions of ¶5.6 of the Stipulation of Settlement dated as of January 13, 2010 (Doc. No. 358) and the Stipulation of Settlement dated as of September 8, 2010 (Doc. No. 445) have been performed, any remaining funds shall be donated to The Silicon Valley Campaign for Legal Services.
- 15. The Court finds that during the course of the Litigation, the Settling Parties and their respective counsel at all times complied with the requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 11.
- 16. In the event that the settlement does not become effective in accordance with the terms of the Stipulation, or the Effective Date does not occur, or in the event that the Settlement Fund, or any portion thereof, is returned to the SoftBank Defendants or their insurers, then this Judgment shall be rendered null and void to the extent provided by and in accordance with the Stipulation and shall be vacated and, in such event, all orders entered and releases delivered in connection herewith shall be null and void to the extent provided by and in accordance with the Stipulation.

609402\_1

### Case 5:04-cv-04908-JW Document 471 Filed 02/17/11 Page 6 of 11

4	17 Without fouther and an of the Count the Cattline Portion may agree to magazinha				
1	17. Without further order of the Court, the Settling Parties may agree to reasonable				
2	extensions of time to carry out any of the provisions of the Stipulation.				
3	IT IS SO ORDERED.				
4					
5	DATED: February 17, 2011  THE HONORABLE JAMES WARE				
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT CHIEF JUDGE Submitted by:				
7	ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN				
8	& DOWD LLP				
9	SHAWN A. WILLIAMS DANIEL J. PFEFFERBAUM				
10	Post Montgomery Center One Montgomery Street, Suite 1800				
11	San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: 415/288-4545				
12	415/288-4534 (fax)				
13	ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN & DOWD LLP				
14	KEITH F. PARK JOHN J. RICE				
15					
16	s/ Keith F. Park				
17	KEITH F. PARK				
18	655 West Broadway, Suite 1900 San Diego, CA 92101				
19	Telephone: 619/231-1058 619/231-7423 (fax)				
20	Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs				
	Lead Counsel for Flammins				
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
609402_1	REVISED [PROPOSED] FINAL JUDGMENT AND ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE - C-04-4908-JW(PVT)				

EXHIBIT 1

## IN RE UTSTARCOM SECURITIES LITIGATION - REQUESTS FOR EXCLUSION EXHIBIT 1 TO FINAL JUDGMENT AND ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	OPT OUT NUMBER	DATE RECEIVED
NICKOLAOS	CHRISTIDIS	UTSCOM-EXCL00001	08/19/10
RENEE	ANDRES-NGUYEN	UTSCOM-EXCL00002	08/19/10
NAM THANH	NGUYEN	UTSCOM-EXCL00003	08/19/10
DONALD	DRAEGER	UTSCOM-EXCL00004	08/24/10
PETER D.	соок	UTSCOM-EXCL00005	08/24/10
ROBERT MICHAEL AND SUSAN DIANNE	PILOT	UTSCOM-EXCL00006	08/24/10
JOHN CARL	KNIGHT	UTSCOM-EXCL00007	08/24/10
TERENCE L.	DRAKE	UTSCOM-EXCL00008	08/24/10
BESSIE	OCHSNER	UTSCOM-EXCL00009	08/27/10
DAVID J.	LAUGHLIN	UTSCOM-EXCL00010	08/27/10
THOMAS L. AND F. DORETHEA	SCOBEY	UTSCOM-EXCL00011	09/01/10
CAROLYN V.	COE	UTSCOM-EXCL00012	09/02/10
CLIFFORD P.	SORENSEN	UTSCOM-EXCL00013	09/02/10
JANIE L.	SORENSEN	UTSCOM-EXCL00014	09/02/10
KAREN L.	STEVENS	UTSCOM-EXCL00015	09/09/10
DOUGLAS W.	STEELE	UTSCOM-EXCL00016	09/09/10
S.A.	SIDDIQUI	UTSCOM-EXCL00017	09/09/10
HARLEY W.	HOPKINS JR.	UTSCOM-EXCL00018	09/10/10
E L.	PARRIS	UTSCOM-EXCL00019	09/10/10
CONSTANTINE	CATSAMBIS	UTSCOM-EXCL00020	09/13/10
FRANCIS J.	SHANNON	UTSCOM-EXCL00021	09/13/10
STEVEN WALTER	BENSON	UTSCOM-EXCL00022	09/17/10
MARIAN (AND LEE A. BARBER)	PETRON	UTSCOM-EXCL00023	09/17/10
VICTOR N. AND LORRAINE M.	BRENK	UTSCOM-EXCL00024	09/20/10
VICTOR N. AND LORRAINE M.	BRENK	UTSCOM-EXCL00025	09/20/10
CHARLES FRANK	SEAY III	UTSCOM-EXCL00026	09/28/10
CHARLOTTE	KILPATRICK	UTSCOM-EXCL00027	09/28/10
JAY Y.	CHERNER	UTSCOM-EXCL00028	09/28/10
JOHN W. AND LILIAN O.	BRADEN (CO-TRUSTEES)	UTSCOM-EXCL00029	09/28/10
JOHN W.	BRADEN JR.	UTSCOM-EXCL00030	09/28/10
LILIAN O.	BRADEN	UTSCOM-EXCL00031	09/28/10
PATRICIA I.	LEATHERS	UTSCOM-EXCL00032	09/28/10
NORMA LOUISE	ROSE	UTSCOM-EXCL00033	09/28/10
SALLY A.	WOODLEY	UTSCOM-EXCL00034	10/04/10
PHILLIP F.	REIMERS	UTSCOM-EXCL00035	10/04/10
CHARLES W.	MILLS	UTSCOM-EXCL00036	10/07/10
VINCENT W.	ALLEN	UTSCOM-EXCL00037	10/08/10

Case 5:04-cv-04908-JW	Document 471	Filed 02/17/11	Page 9 of 11
-----------------------	--------------	----------------	--------------

# 

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on February 16, 2011, I authorized the electronic filing of the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to the e-mail addresses denoted on the attached Electronic Mail Notice List, and I hereby certify that I caused to be mailed the foregoing document or paper via the United States Postal Service to the non-CM/ECF participants indicated on the attached Manual Notice List.

I further certify that I caused this document to be forwarded to the following Designated Internet Site at: http://securities.stanford.edu.

I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on February 16, 2011.

#### s/ Keith F. Park KEITH F. PARK

ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN & DOWD LLP 655 West Broadway, Suite 1900 San Diego, CA 92101-3301

Telephone: 619/231-1058 619/231-7423 (fax)

E-mail: KeithP@rgrdlaw.com

#### Mailing Information for a Case 5:04-cv-04908-JW

#### **Electronic Mail Notice List**

The following are those who are currently on the list to receive e-mail notices for this case.

 Patricia I. Avery pavery@wolfpopper.com

Eric J. Belfi
 ebelfi@lebeton.com Flec

ebelfi@labaton.com, Electronic Case Filing@labaton.com

Patrick J. Coughlin

PatC@rgrdlaw.com,e\_file\_sd@rgrdlaw.com,e\_file\_sf@rgrdlaw.com

· Stephanie L. Dieringer

sldieringer@hulettharper.com,office@hulettharper.com

· Kimberly C. Epstein

e\_file\_sf@csgrr.com,mariam@lerachlaw.com,e\_file\_sf@lerachlaw.com

• Cheryl Weisbard Foung

cfoung@wsgr.com,bhickman@wsgr.com

· Marvin L. Frank

mfrank@murrayfrank.com,info@murrayfrank.com

Gregory Phillip Freemon

GFreemon@rgrdlaw.com

• Lionel Z. Glancy

info@glancylaw.com

• Michael M. Goldberg

info@glancylaw.com

 Philip Howard Gordon pgordon@gordonlawoffices.com,tmurphy@gordonlawoffices.com

· Nicole M. Healy

nhealy@wsgr.com,llow@wsgr.com

• Sverker Kristoffer Hogberg

hogbergs@sullcrom.com,singhl@sullcrom.com

• Shirley H. Huang

 $shirleyh@rgrdlaw.com, e\_file\_sd@rgrdlaw.com, jdecena@rgrdlaw.com, e\_file\_sf@rgrdlaw.com, idecena@rgrdlaw.com, e\_file\_sf@rgrdlaw.com, idecena@rgrdlaw.com, idecena@rgrdlaw.com,$ 

• Terry T. Johnson

tjohnson@wsgr.com,calendar@wsgr.com,lkerska@wsgr.com,mevenson@wsgr.com

 Christopher J. Keller ckeller@labaton.com,cchan@labaton.com,electroniccasefiling@labaton.com

 Bryan Jacob Ketroser bketroser@wsgr.com

....

• William S. Lerach e\_file\_sf@lerachlaw.com

\_\_\_\_\_

 Elizabeth Pei Lin elin@milberg.com,schang@milberg.com,cchaffins@milberg.com

• Dale MacDiarmid

info@glancylaw.com

Louis David Nefouse

dnefouse@wsgr.com,lbeltran@wsgr.com

Keith F. Park

 $keithp@rgrdlaw.com, karnold@rgrdlaw.com, jstark@rgrdlaw.com, e\_file\_sd@rgrdlaw.com, istark@rgrdlaw.com, between the complex of the complex$ 

• Daniel Jacob Pfefferbaum

 $DP fefferbaum@rgrdlaw.com, khuang@rgrdlaw.com, erinj@rgrdlaw.com, e\_file\_sd@rgrdlaw.com, e\_file\_sf@rgrdlaw.com, e\_file\_sf@rgrdlaw.com,$ 

Mark Punzalan

mpunzalan@finkelsteinthompson.com,arivas@finkestienthompson.com,srenwick@finkelsteinthompson.com,rrivas@finkelsteinthompson.com,ttien@finkelsteinthompson.co

· Rachele R. Rickert

rickert@whafh.com

• Darren Jay Robbins

e\_file\_sd@rgrdlaw.com

- Robert Andrew Sacks sacksr@sullcrom.com
- Bahram Seyedin-Noor bnoor@wsgr.com,rlustan@wsgr.com
- Sylvia Sum SSum@csgrr.com,e\_file\_sf@csgrr.com,e\_file\_sd@csgrr.com
- Elizabeth K Tripodi ekt@ftllaw.com
- Shawn A. Williams shawnw@rgrdlaw.com,khuang@rgrdlaw.com,e\_file\_sd@rgrdlaw.com,e\_file\_sf@rgrdlaw.com
- Jason de Bretteville debrettevillej@sullcrom.com,mccauleyr@sullcrom.com,s&cmanagingclerk@sullcrom.com,hogbergs@sullcrom.com,thornj@sullcrom.com

#### **Manual Notice List**

The following is the list of attorneys who are **not** on the list to receive e-mail notices for this case (who therefore require manual noticing). You may wish to use your mouse to select and copy this list into your word processing program in order to create notices or labels for these recipients.

550 West C Street
San Diego, CA 92101

Paul T. Curley
Murray Frank & Sailer LLP
275 Madison Avenue
Suite 801
New York, NY 10016

Boris Peldman
Wilson Sonsini Goodrich & Rosati

Wilson Sonsini Goodrich & Rosati 650 Page Mill Road Palo Alto, CA 94304-1050

S. A. Siddiqui Siddiqui Legal Enterprise P.O. Box 442067 Jacksonville, FL